

Arithmetic theory of E -operators

Tanguy Rivoal,
CNRS and Université Grenoble 1

joint work with Stéphane Fischler,
Université Paris Sud

CIRM, september 2014

E-functions

We fix an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1

An E-function is a formal power series $E(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} z^n$ such that $a_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that:

- (i) the maximum of the moduli of the conjugates of a_n is $\leq C^{n+1}$ for any n .
- (ii) there exists a sequence of rational integers d_n , with $|d_n| \leq C^{n+1}$, such that $d_n a_m$ is an algebraic integer for all $m \leq n$.
- (iii) $E(z)$ satisfies a homogeneous linear differential equation with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$.

A G-function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is defined similarly.

Three sets of numbers related to E and G -functions

Definition 2

(i) The set \mathbf{E} is the set of all the values taken at algebraic points by E -functions.

It is a ring. Its group of units contains $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^$ and $\exp(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

(ii) The set \mathbf{G} is the set of all the values taken at algebraic points by (analytic continuation of) G -functions.

It is a ring. Its group of units contains $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^$ and the Beta values $B(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$.*

(iii) The set \mathbf{S} is the module generated over \mathbf{G} by all the values of derivatives of the Gamma function at rational points.

It is also the module generated over $\mathbf{G}[\gamma]$ by all the values of Γ at rational points, where γ is Euler's constant.

It is a ring.

E-operators

Definition 3 (André, 2000)

A differential operator $L \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, \frac{d}{dx}]$ is an *E-operator* if the operator $M \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[z, \frac{d}{dz}]$ obtained from L by formally changing

$$x \rightarrow -\frac{d}{dz}, \quad \frac{d}{dx} \rightarrow z \quad (\text{Fourier-Laplace transform of } L)$$

is a *G-operator*, i.e. $My(z) = 0$ has at least one *G-function* solution for which it is minimal.

Motivation: Given an *E-function* $E(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} x^n$, there exists an *E-operator* L , of order μ say, such that $LE(x) = 0$. Moreover, let

$$g(z) = \int_0^{\infty} E(x)e^{-xz} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{z^{n+1}} \quad (\text{Laplace transform of } E).$$

Then

$$\left(\left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{\mu} \circ M \right) g(z) = 0.$$

Basis of solutions of L at $z = 0$

Theorem 1 (André 2000)

- (i) An E -operator has at most 0 and ∞ as singularities: 0 is always a regular singularity, while ∞ is an irregular one in general.
- (ii) An E -operator L of order μ has a basis of solutions at $z = 0$ of the form

$$(E_1(z), \dots, E_\mu(z)) \cdot z^M$$

where M is an upper triangular $\mu \times \mu$ matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} and the $E_j(z)$ are E -functions.

Any local solution $F(z)$ of $Ly(z) = 0$ at $z = 0$ is of the form

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \left(\sum_{s \in S_j} \sum_{k \in K_j} \phi_{j,s,k} z^s \log(z)^k \right) E_j(z) \quad (1)$$

where $S_j \subset \mathbb{Q}$, $K_j \subset \mathbb{N}$ are finite and $\phi_{j,s,k} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Interesting case for us: $\phi_{j,s,k} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Connection constants at finite distance

Let $F(z)$ be a local solution of L at $z = 0$, of the form given in (??).

Any point $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$ is a regular point of L .

There exists a basis of local solutions $F_1(z), \dots, F_\mu(z) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z - \alpha]]$, holomorphic around $z = \alpha$, such that

$$F(z) = \omega_1 F_1(z) + \dots + \omega_\mu F_\mu(z) \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu$ are **connection constants**.

Theorem 2 (Fischler-R, 2014)

If $\phi_{j,s,k} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in (??), then $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu$ belong to $\mathbf{E}[\log \alpha]$, and even to \mathbf{E} if $F(z)$ is an E -function.

Proof: Differentiate $\mu - 1$ times (??) to construct a $\mu \times \mu$ linear system with the ω_j 's as unknown. Solve it at $z = \alpha$ using the wronskian built on the F_j 's (Cramer's rule). Use in particular the fact that, by André's result on singularities of E -operators, the wronskian $= cz^\rho e^{\beta z}$ with $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Basis of solutions of L at $z = \infty$

The situation is more complicated because of divergent series and of Stokes' phenomenon.

Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ not in some explicit finite set which contains the anti-Stokes directions. We have a generalized asymptotic expansion

$$E(z) \sim \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma} e^{\rho z} \sum_{\alpha \in S} z^{\alpha} \sum_{i \in T} \log(z)^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\theta, \rho, \alpha, i}(n)}{z^n} \quad (3)$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ in a large angular sector bisected by $\{z : \arg(z) = \theta\}$.

The sets $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $S \subset \mathbb{Q}$ and $T \subset \mathbb{N}$ are finite, and $c_{\theta, \rho, \alpha, i}(n) \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have found a new explicit construction of (??) by deforming the integral

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_L g(z) e^{zx} dz \quad (L \text{ "vertical"}).$$

The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{\theta,\rho,\alpha,i}(n)z^{-n}$ in (??) are divergent, but

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} c_{\theta,\rho,\alpha,i}(n)z^n$$

are finite linear combinations of G -functions.

André (2000): Construction of a special basis $H_1(z), \dots, H_\mu(z)$ of formal solutions at infinity of the E -operator L that annihilates $E(z)$. The H_k 's involve series like in (??) but with coefficients in $c_k \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some c_k .

The asymptotic expansion (??) of $E(z)$ in a large sector bisected by $\{z : \arg(z) = \theta\}$ can be rewritten with this basis as

$$\omega_{\theta,1}H_1(z) + \dots + \omega_{\theta,\mu}H_\mu(z) \tag{4}$$

with **Stokes' constants** $\omega_{\theta,k}$.

When θ "crosses" one of the anti-Stokes directions, the values of the $\omega_{\theta,k}$ may change. This is the Stokes phenomenon.

Stokes' constants at infinity

Setting:

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &\sim \omega_{\theta,1} H_1(z) + \cdots + \omega_{\theta,\mu} H_\mu(z) \\ &\sim \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma} e^{\rho z} \sum_{\alpha \in S} z^\alpha \sum_{i \in T} \log(z)^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\theta,\rho,\alpha,i}(n)}{z^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 (Fischler-R, 2014)

Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ be a direction not in some explicit finite set. Then:

- (i) The Stokes constants $\omega_{\theta,k}$ belong to \mathbf{S} .
- (ii) All the coefficients $c_{\theta,\rho,\alpha,i}(n)$ belong to \mathbf{S} .
- (iii) Let $F(z)$ be a local solution at $z = 0$ of L , with $\phi_{j,s,k} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in (??). Then Assertions (i) and (ii) hold with $F(z)$ instead of $E(z)$.

Applications to E -approximations

Definition 4

Sequences (P_n) and (Q_n) of algebraic numbers are said to form E -approximations of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} = \alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n z^n = a(z) \cdot E(b(z)), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n z^n = c(z) \cdot F(d(z))$$

where E and F are E -functions, and a, b, c, d are algebraic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z]]$ with $b(0) = d(0) = 0$.

Diophantine motivation: Many sequences of algebraic approximations of classical numbers are E -approximations. For instance diagonal Padé approximants to $\exp(z)$ evaluated at z algebraic, and in particular the convergents to e .

The set of E -approximable numbers

Given two subsets X and Y of \mathbb{C} , let

$$X \cdot Y = \{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}, \quad \frac{X}{Y} = \left\{ \frac{x}{y} \mid x \in X, y \in Y \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Theorem 4 (Fischler-R, 2014)

The set of numbers having E -approximations contains

$$\frac{\mathbf{E} \cup \Gamma(\mathbb{Q})}{\mathbf{E} \cup \Gamma(\mathbb{Q})} \cup \text{Frac } \mathbf{G} \tag{5}$$

and it is contained in

$$\frac{\mathbf{E} \cup (\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{G})}{\mathbf{E} \cup (\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathbf{G})} \cup \left(\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \exp(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cdot \text{Frac } \mathbf{G} \right). \tag{6}$$

Proof of (??): Explicit constructions.

Proof of (??): Saddle point method, singularity analysis, and Theorems ?? and ?? because E -approximable numbers appear either as connection constants or as Stokes' constants.

E-approximations of Gamma values

Let

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!(n+\alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$$

and define $P_n(\alpha)$ by

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} E_\alpha\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\alpha) z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

Then,

$$P_n(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{k+\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(k+\alpha)} \longrightarrow \Gamma(\alpha) \quad \text{if } \alpha < 1.$$

The number $\Gamma(\alpha)$ appears as a Stokes constant in the expansion

$$E_\alpha(-z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{z^\alpha} - e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(1-\alpha)_n}{z^{n+1}}.$$

What about Euler's constant $\gamma = -\Gamma'(1)$?

We conjecture that γ does not have E -approximations. However, let

$$E(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!n}$$

and define the sequence (P_n) by

$$-\frac{1}{1-z} E\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) + \frac{\log(1-z)}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

Then

$$P_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k!}\right) \rightarrow \gamma.$$

Again, γ appears as a Stokes' constant in the asymptotic expansion

$$E(-z) \sim -\gamma - \log(z) - e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{n!}{z^{n+1}}.$$

Linear recurrences

$$\begin{aligned}(n+3)(n+3+\alpha)P_{n+3}(\alpha) \\ - (3n^2 + 4n\alpha + 14n + \alpha^2 + 9\alpha + 17)P_{n+2}(\alpha) \\ + (3n+5+2\alpha)(n+2+\alpha)P_{n+1}(\alpha) \\ - (n+2+\alpha)(n+1+\alpha)P_n(\alpha) = 0\end{aligned}$$

with $P_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $P_1(\alpha) = \frac{1+\alpha+\alpha^2}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}$ and $P_2(\alpha) = \frac{4+5\alpha+6\alpha^2+4\alpha^3+\alpha^4}{2\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(n+3)^2P_{n+3} - (3n^2 + 14n + 17)P_{n+2} \\ + (3n+5)(n+2)P_{n+1} - (n+2)(n+1)P_n = 0\end{aligned}$$

with $P_0 = 0$, $P_1 = 0$ and $P_2 = \frac{1}{4}$.

Connection constants for G -functions

Let $G(z)$ be a G -function solution of the minimal differential equation $My(z) = 0$ of order μ .

By a deep theorem due to André, Chudnovskii and Katz, at any point $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cup \{\infty\}$, there is a basis of solutions $G_1(z), \dots, G_\mu(z)$ of $My(z) = 0$, locally holomorphic in a slit neighbourhood of α , which are (essentially) G -functions of $z - \alpha$ or $1/z$.

Locally around $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cup \{\infty\}$, we have

$$G(z) = \omega_1 G_1(z) + \dots + \omega_\mu G_\mu(z).$$

Theorem 5 (Fischler-R, 2012)

The connection constants $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\mu$ belong to \mathbf{G} .

The set of G -approximable numbers

Definition 5

Sequences (P_n) and (Q_n) of algebraic numbers are said to form G -approximations of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} = \alpha$$

and the generating functions

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n z^n, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n z^n$$

are both G -functions.

Theorem 6 (Fischler-R, 2012)

The set of numbers having G -approximations is $\text{Frac } \mathbf{G}$.