

# Linear independence of values of $G$ -functions

Tanguy Rivoal,  
CNRS and Université Grenoble Alpes

joint work with Stéphane Fischler, Université  
Paris-Saclay

Meeting *Effective Aspects in Diophantine  
Approximation*, Lyon, 27-31 march 2023

# G-functions

## Definition 1 (Siegel 1929)

A power series  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z]]$  is a G-function if:

- (i)  $F(z)$  is solution of an homogeneous linear differential equation with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ .
- (ii) There exists  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $|\sigma(A_n)| \leq C^{n+1}$ , for any Galoisian conjugate  $\sigma(A_n)$  of  $A_n$ .
- (iii) For any  $n \geq 0$ , there exists  $D_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $|D_n| \leq C^{n+1}$  and  $D_n A_m$  is an algebraic integer for all  $m \leq n$ .

Does there exist a power series  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z]]$  which is not a G-function but

- (I) For any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $|A_n| \leq C^{n+1}$ .
- (II) Items (i) and (iii) above both hold.

## Examples of G-functions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k+1} z^k = \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1-4z}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{2k} z^k = \frac{2 \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \arcsin\left(\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{3z}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{4-27z}}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \binom{k+j}{j}\right) z^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-6z+z^2}}.$$

Algebraic functions over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$  and holomorphic at  $z=0$ .

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} = 2 \arcsin\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2, \quad \text{Li}_s(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s}, \quad \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \dots n_k^{s_k}}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2 \binom{k+j}{j}^2\right) z^k.$$

Hypergeometric series with rational parameters:

$${}_p F_p \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p \end{matrix}; z \right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \dots (a_{p+1})_k}{(1)_k (b_1)_k \dots (b_p)_k} z^k,$$

where  $(\alpha)_0 := 1$  and  $(\alpha)_k := \alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+k-1)$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

## E-functions

E-function:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{n!} z^n$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  is a G-function.

$$\exp(z), \quad J_0(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(z/2)^{2k}}{k!^2}.$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \binom{k+j}{j} \right) z^k = e^{3z} J_0(2i\sqrt{2}z).$$

A Siegel-Shidlovskii type theorem is not possible for G-functions.

Transcendental G-functions can take algebraic values on a dense set of algebraic numbers (André, Beukers, Joyce-Zucker, Wolfart):

$${}_2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1323}{1331} \right] = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[4]{11}.$$

Polynomial relations between values of G-functions are expected to follow Grothendieck's Period Conjecture, by the Bombieri-Dwork Conjecture "G-functions come from geometry".

# Diophantine results for values of specific $G$ -functions

- $\log(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .
- Chudnovsky (70's), reproved by André (1996): for any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ , the two numbers

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \alpha\right], \quad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{matrix}; \alpha\right]$$

are algebraically independent over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

- Wolfart (1988) on the values of Gauss' hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1$ .

In the proofs, other special functions are used. Respectively: exponential function, elliptic/modular functions, periods and Baker-Wüstholz's theory.

We don't know **three** algebraically independent (over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) values of  $G$ -functions at algebraic points.

## Two families of Diophantine results for $G$ -functions

- In the first family, the  $G$ -function is evaluated at an algebraic point  $\alpha$  close to 0. First general results by Siegel, Nurmagomedov, Galochkin, Bombieri.

### Theorem 1 (Chudnovsky 1984)

Let  $Y(z) = {}^t(F_1(z), \dots, F_S(z))$  be a vector of  $G$ -functions solution of

$$Y'(z) = A(z)Y(z), \quad A(z) \in M_S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)).$$

Assume that  $F_1(z), \dots, F_S(z)$  are  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ -algebraically independent.

For any  $d$ , there exists  $C_{Y,d} > 0$  such that, for any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of degree  $\leq d$  with

$$0 < |\alpha| < \exp\left(-C_{Y,d} \log(H(\alpha))^{\frac{4S}{4S+1}}\right),$$

there does not exist a polynomial relation of degree  $\leq d$  between the values  $1, F_1(\alpha), \dots, F_S(\alpha)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

$H(\alpha)$  is the maximum of the modulus of the coefficients of the normalized minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Case  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ : if  $b > c_1|a|^{c_2}$  then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, F_1(a/b), \dots, F_S(a/b)) = S + 1.$$

In general,  $c_2 \asymp S^2$ . If  $F_j(z) = \text{Li}_j(z)$ , then  $c_2 \asymp S$  (Nikishin, Hata).

- The second family of results is more recent ( $\approx 2000$ ).

For any algebraic point  $\alpha$  in the disk of convergence of  $F$ , we get estimates for the dimension of the vector space spanned by  $F(\alpha)$  over a number field, where  $F$  ranges through a set of  $G$ -functions.

## Theorem 2 (Marcovecchio 2006)

Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ . As  $S \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(1, \text{Li}_1(\alpha), \dots, \text{Li}_S(\alpha)) \geq \frac{1 + o(1)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] \log(2e)} \log(S).$$

R. 2001: same result under the further assumption that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

All the results in this family are for polylogarithms or Lerch function.

## A new general result in the second family

$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k$  any  $G$ -function with radius of convergence  $R$ .

For any integers  $n \geq 1$  and  $s \geq 0$ , define the twisted iterated antiderivatives

$$F_n^{[s]}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k}{(k+n)^s} z^{k+n}.$$

$\mathbb{K}$  any number field containing the  $A_k$ 's.

For any  $S \geq 0$  and any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $0 < |\alpha| < R$ , set

$$\Phi_{\alpha,S} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(F_n^{[s]}(\alpha), n \geq 1, 0 \leq s \leq S).$$

### Theorem 3 (Fischler-R. 2020)

*Assume  $F$  is not a polynomial. There exist  $\mu, \ell_0, C(F) > 0$  effective such that, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $0 < |\alpha| < R$ ,*

$$\frac{1 + o(1)}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]C(F)} \log(S) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\Phi_{\alpha,S}) \leq \ell_0 S + \mu.$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha,S} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(F_n^{[s]}(\alpha), 1 \leq n \leq \ell_0, 0 \leq s \leq S).$$



# Examples with $\ell_0 = 1$

## Corollary 1

Fix some  $a_1, \dots, a_{p+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$a_i \notin \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\} \quad \text{and} \quad b_j \notin -\mathbb{N} \quad \text{for any } i, j.$$

For any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ , infinitely many of the hypergeometric values

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \cdots (a_{p+1})_k}{(1)_k (b_1)_k \cdots (b_p)_k} \frac{\alpha^k}{(k+1)^s}, \quad s \geq 0,$$

are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

For any integers  $1 \leq b \leq a$  and any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < |\alpha| < \frac{b^b (a-b)^{a-b}}{a^a}$ , infinitely many of the numbers

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{ak}{bk} \frac{\alpha^k}{k^s}, \quad s \geq 0,$$

are irrational.

## Beyond the disk of convergence

In 2021, we extended our theorem to the outside of the disk of convergence.

The  $G$ -function  $F$  can be analytically continued to  $\mathbb{C}$  minus cuts  $[\xi_j, \infty)$  at the finite singularities  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$  of  $F$ . We choose the directions of the cuts such that the straightlines defined by each cut contains 0. This enables to continue  $F$  and each  $F_n^{[s]}$  to a domain  $\mathcal{D}_F$  which is star-shaped at the origin.

Theorem 3 holds for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^* \cap \mathcal{D}_F$  and  $\alpha$  not a singularity of  $F$ .

The result on hypergeometric series holds for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ,  $\alpha \notin [1, +\infty)$ .

In particular, the polylogarithms  $\text{Li}_s(z)$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$  by the identity (with the principal determination of  $\log(z)$ )

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = (-1)^{s+1} \text{Li}_s(1/z) - \frac{(2i\pi)^s}{s!} B_s(\log(z)/2i\pi).$$

### Theorem 4 (Fischler-R. 2021)

For any  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ ,  $\alpha \notin [1, +\infty)$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(1, \text{Li}_1(\alpha), \dots, \text{Li}_S(\alpha)) \gg \log(S).$$

# Upper bound for $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\Phi_{\alpha,S})$ in Theorem 3

Let  $\theta := z \frac{d}{dz}$ .

## Proposition 1

There exists  $\ell_0, \ell, \mu$  that depend only on  $F$  such that, for any  $s, n \geq 1$ , there exist  $\kappa_{j,t,s,n} \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $K_{j,s,n}(z) \in \mathbb{K}[z]$  of degree  $\leq n + s(\ell - 1)$ , such that

$$F_n^{[s]}(z) = \sum_{t=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_0} \kappa_{j,t,s,n} F_j^{[t]}(z) + \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} K_{j,s,n}(z) (\theta^j F)(z). \quad (1)$$

With  $z = \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  in (1):

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(F_n^{[s]}(\alpha), n \geq 1, 0 \leq s \leq S) \leq \ell_0 S + \mu.$$

## Lower bound for $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\Phi_{\alpha,S})$ in Theorem 3

We want to apply a Nesterenko-type linear independence criterion.

For  $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let  $|\xi|$  = the maximum modulus of the Galois conjugates of  $\xi$ .

### Theorem 5 (Fischler-R. 2017)

Consider  $N$  numbers  $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume there exist  $(p_{j,n})_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$  such that

(i) For all  $j$ ,  $p_{j,n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $|p_{j,n}| \leq \beta^{n(1+o(1))}$  for some  $\beta > 1$ ;

(ii) We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^N p_{j,n} \vartheta_j = \omega^n n^{\kappa} (\log n)^{\lambda} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T c_t \zeta_t^n + o(1) \right)$$

where  $\omega \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $T \geq 1$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_T \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_T \in \mathbb{C}$  are pairwise distinct and such that  $|\zeta_t| = 1$ .

Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N) \geq \frac{1}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]} \left( 1 - \frac{\log(\omega)}{\log(\beta)} \right).$$

## Construction of an auxiliary function

Let  $r, S \geq 0$  be integers such that  $r \leq S$ . Later:  $r = \lfloor S/\log(S)^2 \rfloor$  and  $S$  “large”.

For  $n \geq 0$  and  $|z| > 1/R$ , set

$$T_{S,r,n}(z) = n!^{S-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-rn+1)}{(k+1)^S(k+2)^S\cdots(k+n)^S} A_k z^{-k}$$

### Lemma 1

There exist  $C_{j,s,n}(X), \tilde{C}_{j,n}(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  of degree  $\leq n+1+S(\ell-1)$  such that

$$T_{S,r,n}(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_0} \sum_{s=1}^S C_{j,s,n}(z) F_j^{[s]}(1/z) + \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} \tilde{C}_{j,n}(z) z^{-S(\ell-1)} (\theta^j F)(1/z),$$

This is a non-trivial generalization of the trivial identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-k-n}}{(k+n)^S} = \text{Li}_S(1/z) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{z^{-k}}{k^S}.$$

There exist  $C_1(F)$  and  $C_2(F) > 0$  such that:

### Lemma 2

For any  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \max_{j,s} |C_{j,s,n}(z)| \right)^{1/n} \leq C_1(F)^S r^r 2^{S+r+1} \max(1, |z|)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \max_j |\tilde{C}_{j,n}(z)| \right)^{1/n} \leq C_1(F)^S r^r 2^{S+r+1} \max(1, |z|).$$

### Lemma 3

For  $z \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $qz \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , there exists  $\Delta_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\Delta_n C_{j,s,n}(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad \Delta_n \tilde{C}_{j,n}(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_n^{1/n} = q C_2(F)^S e^S.$$

## Lemma 4

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $0 < |\alpha| < R$ . Assume that  $r = \lfloor \frac{S}{(\log S)^2} \rfloor$  and that  $S$  is large enough.

There exist  $a, \kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q \geq 1$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_Q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , pairwise distinct  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_Q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\zeta_q| = 1$  such that

$$T_{S,r,n}(1/\alpha) = \omega^n n^{\kappa} \log(n)^{\lambda} \left( \sum_{q=1}^Q c_q \zeta_q^n + o(1) \right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \omega \leq \frac{1}{r^{S-r}} \approx \frac{1}{S^S}.$$

The involved quantities depend on the singularities of  $F$ .

We now use the three lemmas in Theorem 5 and get the lower bound in Theorem 3 with

$$C(F) = \log(2e^2 C_1(F) C_2(F)).$$

# Analytic continuation of $T_{S,r,n}(1/z)$

For  $|z| < R$ :

$$T_{S,r,n}(1/z) = \frac{z^{rn}}{n!r} \int_{[0,1]^S} F^{(rn)}(t_1 \cdots t_S z) \prod_{j=1}^S t_j^{rn} (1-t_j)^n dt_j.$$

- 1) We obtain the analytic continuation of  $T_{S,r,n}(1/z)$  for  $|z| \geq R$  and  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}_F$ , the star-shaped domain at 0 to which  $F$  is continued.
- 2)  $T_{S,r,n}(1/z)$  is “small” in  $\mathcal{D}_F$ :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |T_{S,r,n}(1/z)|^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{r^{S-r}}.$$

- 3) For  $0 < z < R$  and the Taylor coefficients of  $F$  are non-negative, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |T_{S,r,n}(1/z)|^{1/n} \approx \frac{1}{r^{S-r}}.$$

- 4) For  $|z| < R$ , we use a different expression for  $T_{S,r,n}(1/z)$  and the saddle point method.
- 5) For  $z \in \mathcal{D}_F$ , we construct suitable (complicated) Padé type problems and conclude with a Shidlovskii type lemma.