Siegel's problem for *E*-functions

Tanguy Rivoal, CNRS et Université Grenoble Alpes

Joint work with Stéphane Fischler, Université Paris-Sud

Périodes, motifs et équations différentielles : entre arithmétique et géométrie

Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, april 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

E- and G-functions (Siegel, 1929)

Definition 1

A power series $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n / n! \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z]]$ is an *E*-function if (i) F(z) is solution of a non-zero linear differential equation with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$.

(ii) There exists C > 0 such that for any $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and any $n \ge 0$, $|\sigma(a_n)| \le C^{n+1}$.

(iii) There exists a sequence of positive integers d_n , with $d_n \leq C^{n+1}$, such that $d_n a_m$ are algebraic integers for all $m \leq n$.

Siegel's definition was more general: the two bounds $(\cdots) \leq C^{n+1}$ are replaced by: for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\cdots) \leq n!^{\varepsilon}$ for all $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$.

E-functions are entire functions. They form a ring stable under $\frac{d}{dz}$ and \int_0^z . If F(z) is an *E*-function and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $F(\alpha z)$ is an *E*-function.

A power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[z]]$ is a *G*-function if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} z^n$ is an *E*-function (in the sense of Definition 1).

Examples

E-functions: polynomials in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[z]$,

$$\exp(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad L(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{n}\right) \frac{z^n}{n!},$$
$$H(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}\right) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad J_0(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iz/2)^{2n}}{n!^2}.$$

G-functions: algebraic functions over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ regular at 0, $\log(1-z) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n$ and (multiple) polylogarithms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Li}_{s}(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n^{s}} \qquad (s \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ & \sum_{n_{1} > n_{2} > \cdots > n_{k} \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_{1}}}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} n_{2}^{s_{2}} \cdots n_{k}^{s_{k}}} \qquad (s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ & \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{1-zx} dx. \end{array}$$

The intersection of both classes of series is reduced to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[z]$.

Why are *E*- and *G*-functions interesting?

Theorem 1 (Lindemann-Weierstrass)

If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent, then $(e^{\alpha_1 z}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_n z})$ are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ -algebraically independent and) $e^{\alpha_1}, e^{\alpha_2}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_n}$ are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraically independent.

Consequences:

• For any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$, $\exp(\alpha) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

• For any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$, $\log(\alpha) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for any given determination of the logarithm.

Recall that $\exp(z)$ is an *E*-function while $\log(1 - z)$ is a *G*-function: Siegel's aim was to generalize the above statements.

The Siegel-Shidlovskii Theorem

Theorem 2 (Siegel-Shidlovskii, 1929-1956)

Let $Y = {}^{t}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ be a vector of E-functions (in Siegel's sense) and $A \in M_{n \times n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z))$ such that Y' = AY.

Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[z] \setminus \{0\}$ a common denominator of the entries of A, of minimal degree.

Then, for all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\alpha T(\alpha) \neq 0$,

$$\mathsf{deg tr}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)\big(F_1(z),\ldots,F_n(z)\big)=\mathsf{deg tr}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\big(F_1(\alpha),\ldots,F_n(\alpha)\big).$$

We obtain (a version of) the Lindemann-Weierstrass Theorem with $F_j(z) = e^{\alpha_j z}$, $A = \text{Diag}(\alpha_j)$ and $\alpha = 1$.

Siegel, 1929: The E-functions $J_0(z)$ et $J_0'(z)$ are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ -algebraically independent and

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_0'(z) \\ J_0''(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_0(z) \\ J_0'(z) \end{pmatrix}, T(z) = z.$$

For all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$, the numbers $J_0(\alpha)$ et $J'_0(\alpha)$ are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebraically independent.

After the Siegel-Shidlovskii Theorem

André obtained in 2000 a new proof of the Siegel-Shidlovskii Theorem (in the restricted sense). He used the special properties of the differential equations satisfied by such *E*-functions.

These properties are inherited from those of the diff equations satisfied by G-functions, found in the 80's by André, Bombieri, Chudnovsky, Galochkin, Katz: The non-zero minimal differential equation satisfied by a given G-function is fuchsian with rational exponents.

Beukers, 2006: If $Y = {}^{t}(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is a vector of *E*-functions (in the restricted sense) such that Y' = AY and the F_i 's are linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$, then for any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ not a singularity of A, the numbers $F_1(\alpha), \ldots, F_n(\alpha)$ are linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Consequence: for any non-polynomial *E*-function F(z), there are only finitely many $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $F(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. This is not a consequence of the Siegel-Shidlovskii Theorem. An exotic evaluation: $J_0^{(4)}(\pm\sqrt{3}) = 0$.

In 2014, André extended Beukers' lifting theorem to the case of *E*-functions in Siegel's sense. ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Chudnovsky's Theorem

Chudnovsky "completed" Siegel's program for G-functions.

Theorem 3 (Chudnovsky 1984)

Let $Y(z) = {}^{t}(F_1(z), \ldots, F_S(z))$ be a vector of G-functions solution of

$$Y'(z) = A(z)Y(z), \quad A(z) \in M_{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)).$$

Assume $F_1(z), \ldots, F_S(z)$ to be $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ -algebraically independent.

For any d, there exists $C_{Y,d} > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degree $\leq d$ with

$$0 < |\alpha| < \exp\left(-C_{Y,d}\log\left(H(\alpha)\right)^{\frac{45}{45+1}}\right),\tag{1}$$

there does not exist a polynomial relation of degree $\leq d$ between the values 1, $F_1(\alpha), \ldots, F_S(\alpha)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

A condition like (1) is unavoidable: there exist transcendental G-functions that take algebraic values on a dense set of algebraic points in the disk of convergence (Wolfart).

Hypergeometric *E*-functions

Set
$$(x)_m := x(x+1)\cdots(x+m-1)$$
.

Siegel: the "hypergeometric" series

$${}_{p}F_{q}\begin{bmatrix}a_{1},\ldots,a_{p}\\b_{1},\ldots,b_{q}\end{bmatrix}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_{1})_{n}\cdots(a_{p})_{n}}{n!(b_{1})_{n}\cdots(b_{q})_{n}}z^{n(q-p+1)},$$

is an *E*-function when $q \ge p \ge 1$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ for all *j*.

L(z) and H(z) are not of ${}_{p}F_{q}(z^{q-p+1})$ type but

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{n} \right) \frac{z^{n}}{n!} = e^{(3-2\sqrt{2})z} \cdot {}_{1}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}; 4\sqrt{2}z \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \right) \frac{z^{n}}{n!} = ze^{z} \cdot {}_{2}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1, 1 \\ 2, 2 \end{bmatrix}; -z \end{bmatrix}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Siegel's question

Question 1 (Siegel, 1949)

Is it possible to write every E-function (in Siegel's sense) as a polynomial with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of series ${}_{p}F_{q}[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}; \lambda z^{q-p+1}]$, with $q \geq p \geq 1$, $a_{j}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$?

Such a representation may not be unique. For instance

$$J_0(z) := {}_1F_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1,1 \\ (iz/2)^2 \end{bmatrix} = e^{-iz} \cdot {}_1F_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 2iz \end{bmatrix}$$

Gorelov, 2004: the answer is yes if the *E*-function (in Siegel's sense) is solution of a differential equation of order ≤ 2 with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$.

In 2019, Fischler and myself gave a strong reason to believe that the answer was negative in general for *E*-functions of differential order \geq 4.

The answer was then shown to be negative by Fresán and Jossen in 2020, who produced an explicit counter-example.

In the rest of the talk, I will explain our 2019 result. From now on, *E*-functions are always understood in the restricted sense, A = A = A = A

Rings of special values

G the ring of values taken at algebraic points by analytic continuations of *G*-functions. Algebraic numbers, $\Gamma(a/b)^b$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{N})$ and π are units of **G**.

H the ring generated by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $1/\pi$ and $\Gamma^{(n)}(r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Algebraic numbers and $\Gamma(r)$ $(r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})$ are units of **H**.

S the **G**-module generated by $\Gamma^{(n)}(r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is a ring.

 ${\bf G}$ and ${\bf H}$ are subrings of ${\bf S}.$

Proposition 1

(i) **H** is generated by
$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$
, $1/\pi$ and

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{Li}_{s}(e^{2i\pi r}) & s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, \ r \in \mathbb{Q}, \ (s, e^{2i\pi r}) \neq (1, 1) \\ \log(q) & q \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \\ \Gamma(r) & r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \\ \gamma := -\Gamma'(1) & (Euler's \ constant) \end{cases}$$

(ii) **S** is the **G**[γ]-module generated by $\Gamma(r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

Theorem 4 (Fischler-R., 2019)

At least one of the following statements is true:

(*i*) $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{H};$

(ii) Siegel's question has a negative answer.

(*i*) is very unlikely. It contradicts a conjecture on exponential periods that generalizes Grothendieck's periods conjecture.

If there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\text{Li}_s(\alpha) \in \mathbf{G}$ is not in \mathbf{H} , then the *E*-function

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha^k}{k^s} \right) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is a counter-example, of differential order (at most) s + 3.

I will outline the proof of Theorem 4 when in Siegel's question we further assume that p = q.

The proof of the general case is based on the case p = q together with more complicated arguments.

Asymptotic expansions in large sectors

Definition 2 Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We write

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{
ho \in \mathbb{C}} e^{
ho z} \sum_{lpha \in \mathbb{C}} z^{lpha} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \log(z)^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{
ho, lpha, i, n}(heta) / z^n$$

where the sums on ρ , α , *i* are finite, and say (in this talk) that the RHS is the asymptotic expansion of *f* at ∞ in a large sector bisected by the direction θ , when there exist ε , *R*, *B*, *C* > 0 and certain functions $f_{\rho}(z)$ holomorphic in the sector

$$U := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \ |z| \ge R, \ \theta - \pi/2 - \varepsilon \le \arg(z) \le \theta + \pi/2 + \varepsilon \},$$

such that $f(z) = \sum_{
ho} e^{
ho z} f_{
ho}(z)$ and

$$\left|f_{\rho}(z)-\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}}z^{\alpha}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\log(z)^{i}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}c_{\rho,\alpha,i,n}(\theta)/z^{n}\right|\leq C^{N}N!|z|^{B-N},\quad z\in U,\ N\geq 1.$$

If such an expansion of f(z) exists in a large sector, it is unique in this sector.

Asymptotic expansions of *E*-functions

Theorem 5

(i) (André, 2000) Let f(z) be an E-function. There exists a finite set A such that, for any $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus A$,

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} e^{\rho z} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} z^{\alpha} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \log(z)^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\rho, \alpha, i, n}(\theta)}{z^n},$$

in a large sector bisected by the direction θ , where (Fischler-R., 2016) the coefficients

$$c_{
ho,lpha,i,n}(heta)\in {\sf S}.$$

(ii) (Fischler-R., 2019) Let $\xi \in \mathbf{G}$. There exists an *E*-function F(z) and a finite set *S* such that for any $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus S$, ξ is one of the $c_{\rho,\alpha,i,n}(\theta)$ of the expansion of F(z) in a large sector bisected by θ .

Asymptotic expansions of ${}_{p}F_{p}$ hypergeometric series

Theorem 6

Let $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{0\}$, and f(z) be a hypergeometric series ${}_{p}F_{p}(z)$ with rational parameters. Then,

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{\rho \in \{0,1\}} e^{\rho z} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} z^{\alpha} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \log(z)^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\rho,\alpha,i,n}(\theta)}{z^n}$$

in a large sector bisected by the direction θ where (Fischler-R., 2019) the coefficients

$$c_{\rho,\alpha,i,n}(\theta) \in \mathbf{H}.$$

It is a consequence of Barnes and Wright's classical results, with refinements coming from the theory of Meijer's *G*-function.

Proof of Theorem 4 in the case p = q

Let $\xi \in \mathbf{G}$.

By Theorem 5(*ii*), there exist an *E*-function F(z) and a finite set *S* such that for any $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus S$, ξ is a coefficient of the expansion of F(z) in a large sector bisected by θ .

Assume that Siegel's question has a positive answer (in the case p = q).

There exist ${}_{p}F_{p}$ -hypergeometric series f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} with rational parameters, algebraic numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$, and a polynomial $P \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}]$, such that

$$F(z) = P(f_1(\lambda_1 z), \ldots, f_n(\lambda_n z)).$$

Choose $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \setminus S$ such that $\theta + \arg(\lambda_i) \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ for every *i*. By Theorem 6, the expansion of each $f_i(\lambda_i z)$ in a large sector bisected by θ has coefficients in **H**. The same holds for F(z) because **H** is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebra.

Such an expansion being unique, the coefficient ξ belongs to **H**.

A Siegel like problem for G-functions

The generalized hypergeometric series

$$_{p+1}F_{p}\begin{bmatrix}a_{1},\ldots,a_{p+1}\\b_{1},\ldots,b_{p};z\end{bmatrix}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_{1})_{n}\cdots(a_{p+1})_{n}z^{n}}{n!(b_{1})_{n}\cdots(b_{p})_{n}},$$

is a *G*-function when $p \ge 0$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ for all *j*. Question 2

Is it possible to write any G-function as a polynomial with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of series of the form $_{p+1}F_p[a_1,\ldots,a_{p+1};b_1,\ldots,b_p;\lambda(z)]$, with $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda(z)$ algebraic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$, regular at 0 and such that $\lambda(0) = 0$?

Theorem 7 (Fischler-R., 2019)

At least one of the following statements is true:

(*i*) $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{H};$

(ii) Question 2 has a negative answer under the further assumption that the algebraic functions λ have a common singularity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^* \cup \{\infty\}$ at which they all tend to ∞ .

If there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\text{Li}_s(\alpha) \in \mathbf{G}$ is not in \mathbf{H} , then $\text{Li}_s(\frac{\alpha z}{z-\alpha})$ is a counter-example of differential order $s + 1_{\overline{s}} \times \overline{z} = 1_{$

Why is the inclusion $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{H}$ unlikely, according to Yves André

"The inclusion $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{H}$ does not contradict Grothendieck's period conjecture but it contradicts its extension to exponential motives. In the description of \mathbf{H} given in Proposition 1, we find

 $1/\pi,$ a period of the Tate motive,

 $Li_s(e^{2i\pi r})$, periods of a mixed Tate motive over $\mathbb{Z}[1/r]$,

 $\log(q)$, a period of a 1-motive over \mathbb{Q} ,

 $\Gamma(r)$, whose suitable powers are periods of Abelian varieties with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2i\pi r})$,

 $\gamma,$ a period of an exponential motive, which is a non-classical extension of the Tate motives.

Let *M* be the Tannakian category of mixed motives over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by all these motives. Consider a non CM elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and *E* its motive. The periods of *E* are in **G**.

If $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{H}$, the periods of E are in \mathbf{H} . By the exponential period conjecture, E would be in M. This is impossible because the motivic Galois group of M is pro-solvable, while that of E is GL_2 ."