

# SIMULTANEOUS GENERATION OF KOECHER AND ALMKVIST-GRANVILLE'S APÉRY-LIKE FORMULAE

T. RIVOAL

ABSTRACT. We prove a very general identity, conjectured by Henri Cohen, involving the generating function of the family  $(\zeta(2r + 4s + 3))_{r,s \geq 0}$ : it unifies two identities, proved by Koecher in 1980 and Almkvist & Granville in 1999, for the generating functions of  $(\zeta(2r + 3))_{r \geq 0}$  and  $(\zeta(4s + 3))_{s \geq 0}$  respectively. As a consequence, we obtain that, for any integer  $j \geq 0$ , there exist at least  $\lfloor j/2 \rfloor + 1$  Apéry-like formulae for  $\zeta(2j + 3)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In proving that  $\zeta(3) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k^3$  is irrational, Apéry [2] noted that

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3}. \quad (1.1)$$

Although the series on the right hand side converges much faster than the defining series for  $\zeta(3)$ , formula (1.1) is not essential in Apéry's proof since truncations of this series are not diophantine approximations to  $\zeta(3)$ . On the other hand, it is very likely that (1.1) was a source of inspiration for Apéry<sup>1</sup> and many authors have looked for similar identities, in the hope that they might give some idea of how to prove the irrationality of  $\zeta(2s + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k^{2s+1}$  for any integer  $s \geq 2$ : see for example [4, 6, 8, 10, 13]. This problem is far from being solved<sup>2</sup>, but many beautiful Apéry-like formulae have been proved. In fact, two apparently unrelated families of such formulae for  $\zeta(2s + 3)$  and  $\zeta(4s + 3)$  have emerged, both of which are more easily explained with the help of the generating functions

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2s + 3) a^{2s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 - a^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \zeta(4s + 3) b^{4s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - b^4}.$$

(The series on the left hand sides converge only for  $|a| < 1$  and  $|b| < 1$ , whereas the right hand sides converge on much larger domains.) Koecher [8] (and independently

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M06; Secondary 05A15, 11J72.

*Key words and phrases*. Riemann zeta function, Apéry-like series, generating functions.

<sup>1</sup>See [5, 12] for a detailed explanation of Apéry's original method.

<sup>2</sup>We now know that infinitely many of the values  $\zeta(2s + 1)$  ( $s \geq 1$ ) are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent [3, 11] and that at least one amongst  $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \zeta(11)$  is irrational [14].

Leshchiner [10] in an expanded form) proved that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 - a^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{n^2}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

for any complex number  $a$  such that  $|a| < 1$ , and, more recently, Almkvist & Granville [1] proved another identity, first conjectured by Borwein & Bradley [4]:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - b^4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k}{k^4 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{n^4 + 4b^4}{n^4 - b^4}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

for any complex number  $b$  such that  $|b| < 1$ . For  $a = b = 0$ , these identities reduce to (1.1), but otherwise produce different identities for the values of the zeta function at odd integers. For example, Borwein & Bradley note that (1.2) implies

$$\zeta(7) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7} - 2 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^5 j^2} + \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^2 i^2}$$

while (1.3) implies

$$\zeta(7) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7} + \frac{25}{2} \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^4}.$$

The purpose of this article is to prove the following very general generating function identity, which was conjectured by H. Cohen on the basis of computations in Pari.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be complex numbers such that  $|a|^2 + |b|^4 < 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{k^4 - a^2 k^2 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{(n^2 - a^2)^2 + 4b^4}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

We remark that Identity (1.4) unifies (1.2) (case  $b = 0$ ) and (1.3) (case  $a = 0$ ); consequently, it should yield new Apéry-like formulae. This is indeed true since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+s}{r} \zeta(2r+4s+3) a^{2r} b^{4s}$$

and since the number of representations of an integer  $j \geq 0$  as  $j = r + 2s$  with integers  $r, s \geq 0$  is  $[j/2] + 1$ . Hence, (1.4) produces  $[j/2] + 1$  different identities for  $\zeta(2j+3)$  for any integer  $j \geq 0$ , obtained by differentiating the right hand side of (1.4)  $r$ , resp.  $s$ , times with respect to  $a^2$ , resp.  $b^4$ , with  $j = r + 2s$ , and then by letting  $a = b = 0$ .

For  $0 \leq j \leq 2$ , one of  $r, s$  is 0 and we only obtain identities resulting from (1.2) or (1.3). This is also the case for  $j = 3$ ,  $(r, s) = (3, 0)$  and the first apparently new identity is for

$j = 3, (r, s) = (1, 1) :$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(9) = & \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^9} + 5 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^5 j^4} + 5 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^6} \\ & - \frac{5}{4} \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7 j^2} - \frac{25}{4} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^4 i^2} - \frac{25}{4} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^2 i^4}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 1, we will use Borwein & Bradley's method in which the proof of (1.4) was reduced in several steps to the proof of a finite combinatorial identity (the last step in [4] is due to Wenchang Chu), which was finally proved by Almkvist & Granville. In our case, we will show that Theorem 1 follows from the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k^2 + (j-k)^2 - a^2)(k^2 + (j+k)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n (k^2 - j^2)(k^2 + j^2 - a^2)} = \frac{1}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n},$$

for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , which we will then prove as corollary of the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $g(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  be of degree at most 2. For any integer  $n \geq 1$  and any complex numbers  $a$  and  $t$ , with  $a \notin \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$ , we have that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \left( \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} (t(k^2 - a^2) + g(j)) - \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} g(j) \right) = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

For the special case  $a = 0$ , we obtain the key identity proved in [1].

## 2. FIRST STEP

We transform the right hand side of (1.4) by a partial fraction decomposition, with respect to  $b^4$ :

$$\frac{1}{k^4 - a^2 k^2 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - a^2)^2 + 4b^4}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{C_{n,k}(a)}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$C_{n,k}(a) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq n}^k (j^2 - n^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Inserting (2.1) in the right hand side of (1.4) and inverting the summations, we see that it will be enough to show that (and in fact, this is equivalent)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{2k} C_{n,k}(a).$$

Clearly, it is enough to show that, for any integer  $n \geq 1$  and any complex  $a$  with  $|a| < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (5k^2 - a^2)}{\binom{2k}{k} 2k} C_{n,k}(a) = n. \quad (2.3)$$

From now on, and otherwise specified, we assume that  $|a| < 1$ .

### 3. SECOND STEP

We define  $t_n(k)$  to be the summand of the series in (2.3) and  $\delta$  to be  $\sqrt{n^2 - a^2}$  (for any fixed branch of the logarithm). We observe that  $t_n(k)$  can be extended to a meromorphic function of the complex variable  $k$ :

$$t_n(k) = \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\pi k} n^2 \Gamma(1 \pm i\delta) (5k^2 - a^2) \Gamma(k+1)^2 \Gamma(k \pm n \pm i\delta)}{\Gamma(1 - n \pm i\delta) \Gamma(n \pm i\delta) k \Gamma(2k+1) \Gamma(k+1 \pm n) \Gamma(k+1 \pm i\delta)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\Gamma(x \pm y \pm z)$  is defined to be  $\Gamma(x+y+z)\Gamma(x+y-z)\Gamma(x-y+z)\Gamma(x-y-z)$ , etc.

We note that, as a result of the factor  $\Gamma(k+1-n)$  in the denominator of (3.1), we have  $t_n(k) = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Furthermore, simple computations give that  $t_n(0) = a^2 n / (2n^2 - a^2)$  and, for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$t_n(-k) = -\frac{n^3(n^2 - a^2)}{2n^2 - a^2} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{(n^2 + (k-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (k+n)^2 - a^2)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - j^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}{(n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)}. \quad (3.2)$$

We are now ready to prove our second step.

**Proposition 1.** *For any given  $n \geq 1$ , Equation (2.3) is equivalent to the following finite combinatorial identity:*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2k}{k} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{(n^2 + (k-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (k+n)^2 - a^2)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - j^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}{(n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)} = \frac{2}{n^2 - a^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

*Remark.* Given any integer  $n \geq 1$ , if (3.3) is true for  $|a| < 1$ , it is true for any complex number  $a$  such that  $a^2$  can not be written  $a^2 = n^2 + m^2$  with an integer  $m \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$ .

*Proof.* We will prove below that

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{+\infty} t_n(k) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Equation (3.4) can be written

$$\sum_{k=1}^n t_n(-k) = -t_n(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_n(k) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k) = -\frac{a^2 n}{2n^2 - a^2} - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k)$$

and  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k) = n$  is clearly equivalent to  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_n(-k) = -2n^3/(2n^2 - a^2)$ , which, given (3.2), is exactly (3.3).

We now prove (3.4), and for that we closely follow Borwein & Bradley, whose method is based on Gosper's hypergeometric summation algorithm (see [7, p. 225–227] for details). We note that

$$\frac{t_n(k+1)}{t_n(k)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{5(k+1)^2 - a^2}{5k^2 - a^2} \frac{k}{2k+1} \frac{(k \pm n \pm i\delta)}{(k+1 \pm n)(k+1 \pm i\delta)} = \frac{p_n(k+1)q_n(k)}{p_n(k)r_n(k+1)},$$

is a rational function of  $k$ , with  $q_n(k) = (k - n \pm i\delta)$ ,  $r_n(k) = -2(2k-1)(k+n)$  and

$$p_n(k) = (5k^2 - a^2) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k-j)(k+j \pm i\delta).$$

Since  $q_n$  and  $r_n$  do not have roots differing by integers<sup>3</sup>, Gosper's algorithm ensures that there exists a polynomial  $s_n$  of degree at most  $\deg(p_n) - \deg(q_n - r_n) = 3n - 3$  such that  $p_n(k) = s_n(k+1)q_n(k) - r_n(k)s_n(k)$ . We now define

$$T_n(k) = \frac{r_n(k)s_n(k)t_n(k)}{p_n(k)},$$

which satisfies  $T_n(k+1) - T_n(k) = t_n(k)$ . Since  $t_n(-n)$  is finite and  $p_n(-n) \neq 0 = r_n(-n)$ , we have  $T_n(-n) = 0$ . Hence, for any  $k \geq 1 - n$ ,  $T_n(k) = \sum_{j=-n}^{k-1} t_n(j)$ . Since  $\deg(r_n s_n) = \deg(p_n)$ , we have  $T_n(k) = O(t_n(k))$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , which implies that  $T_n(k)$  tends to 0 as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . It follows that (3.4) holds.  $\square$

#### 4. THIRD STEP

Here, we generalise the last reduction step of [4] (due to Wenchang Chu).

**Proposition 2.** *Equation (3.3) for every integer  $n \geq 1$  is equivalent to the following identity for every integer  $n \geq 1$ :*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k^2 + (j-k)^2 - a^2)(k^2 + (j+k)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n (k^2 - j^2)(k^2 + j^2 - a^2)} = \frac{1}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \quad (4.1)$$

*Remark.* The simplification (4.2) below shows that, given any integer  $n \geq 1$ , if (4.1) is true for  $|a| < 1$ , it is true for any complex number  $a$  such that  $a \notin \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$ . Furthermore, it can also be written as

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_{k,n}(a)}{k^2 - a^2} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n},$$

where  $C_{k,n}(a)$  is defined in (2.2).

<sup>3</sup>Since  $|a| < 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $i\delta$  can't be an integer.

*Proof of Proposition 2.* We use Krattenthaler's inversion formula [9]:

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{a_n d_n + b_n c_n}{d_k} \frac{\varphi(c_k/d_k; n)}{\psi_k(-c_k/d_k; n+1)} g(k) \quad \text{iff} \quad g(n) = \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{\psi(-c_n/d_n; k)}{\varphi(c_n/d_n; k+1)} f(k),$$

where

$$\varphi(x; k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (a_j + x b_j), \quad \psi(x; k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (c_j + x d_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_m(x; k) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq m}}^{k-1} (c_j + x d_j).$$

Applied to (3.3), it yields the result with the choices  $r = 1$ ,  $a_j = (j^2 - a^2)^2$ ,  $b_j = 4$ ,  $c_j = j^4 - a^2 j^2$ ,  $d_j = 1$ ,

$$f(k) = (-1)^k (5k^2 - a^2) \binom{2k}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad g(k) = \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \frac{4k^4 - 4a^2 k^2 + (a^2 - 1)^2}{k^4 - a^2 k^2}.$$

□

Using the same trick as Almkvist & Granville, it is easy to write (4.1) in a more convenient form, that we will prove below: for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} (k^2 + j^2 - a^2) = \frac{(2n)!}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \quad (4.2)$$

## 5. THE FINAL STEP

Note that (4.2) is simply Theorem 2 with  $g(X) = X^2$  and  $t = 1$ : indeed, the first product in the left hand side of (1.5) corresponds exactly to the left hand side of (4.2) and (since only the  $n$ -th summand is non zero)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} g(j) \\ = \frac{n^2}{n^2 - a^2} \prod_{n < j < 2n} j^2 = \frac{4n^2}{n^2 - a^2} \frac{(2n-1)!^2}{n!^2} = \frac{(2n)!}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* So far, we have been very lucky in that every step of [4] generalises without problems to this more general setting. But here, the general Theorem 1' in [1] is apparently not strong enough to prove (4.2). Fortunately, we can adapt the method there used for our purpose. For any  $k \geq 1$ , we define the polynomial of degree  $n - 1$

$$F_k(X) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} (X - g(j)).$$

Proposition 1 in [1] establishes the existence of polynomials  $Q_r(X)$  of degree  $d_r \leq r$  such that

$$F_k(X) - F_k(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} Q_r(k^2 - a^2) X^{n-1-r}. \quad (5.1)$$

The important point for us is the fact that since  $F_k(X) - F_k(0)$  vanishes at  $X = 0$ , then the sum in (5.1) terminates at  $n - 2$ . (In fact,  $Q_r(X) = c_r(X + a^2)$  with the polynomials  $c_r$  given in [1].) We write  $Q_r(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_r} q_{r,i} X^i$ . Equation (1.5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (F_k(-t(k^2 - a^2)) - F_k(0)) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \sum_{i=0}^{d_r} (-t)^{n-1-r} q_{r,i} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Since  $i \geq 0$  et  $r \leq n - 2$ , we have

$$\frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r} = P(k^2),$$

where  $P(X) = 4X(X - a^2)^{n+i-r-2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $i+n-r-1 \leq d_r+n-r-1 \leq n-1$  such that  $P(0) = 0$ . Lemma 1 in [1], which reads

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} k^{2\ell} = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

for any  $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$ , then gives that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} P(k^2) = 0.$$

This proves that the left hand side of (5.2) is 0 for all  $t$  and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.  $\square$

We conclude this section with the following remark. Almkvist & Granville proved (5.3) by expressing its left hand side as the  $2\ell$ -th Taylor coefficient of the function  $e^{-nz}(e^z - 1)^{2n}$ . Another proof is as follows: define  $S(z) = z^\ell/z(z - 1^2) \cdots (z - n^2)$  for any integers  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Then, by the residue theorem, for any closed direct contour  $\Gamma$  enclosing the poles of  $S$ , we have

$$-\text{Res}_\infty(S) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_\Gamma S(z) dz = \sum_{k=0}^n \text{Res}_{k^2}(S) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \frac{k^{2\ell}}{(n-k)!(n+k)!}.$$

If we assume that  $\ell \leq n - 1$ , then  $\text{Res}_\infty(S) = 0$  and if furthermore  $\ell \geq 1$ , then (5.3) follows after multiplication by  $(2n)!/2$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The main result (Theorem 1) of this paper was conjectured by Henri Cohen, and it was his encouragement that prompted me to work on this problem. I warmly thank him for this.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] G. Almkvist and A. Granville, *Borwein and Bradley's Apéry-Like Formulae for  $\zeta(4n+3)$* , Exp. Math. **8** (1999), no. 2, 197–203. Available at <http://www.expmath.org/expmath/volumes/8/8.html>.
- [2] R. Apéry, *Irrationalité de  $\zeta(2)$  et  $\zeta(3)$* , Astérisque **61** (1979), 11–13.
- [3] K. Ball and T. Rivoal, *Irrationalité d'une infinité de valeurs de la fonction zêta aux entiers impairs*, Invent.Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 193–207.
- [4] J. Borwein and D. Bradley, *Empirically Determined Apéry-Like Formulae*, Exp. Math. **6** (1997), no. 3, 181–194. Available at <http://www.expmath.org/expmath/volumes/6/6.html>.
- [5] H. Cohen, *Démonstration de l'irrationalité de  $\zeta(3)$  (d'après Apéry)*, Sémin. de Théorie des Nombres de Grenoble, octobre 1978 (9 p.).
- [6] H. Cohen, *Généralisation d'une construction de R. Apéry*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), 269–281. Available at <http://www.numdam.org/accueil.php>.
- [7] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics: a foundation for computer science*, 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing, 1994.
- [8] M. Koecher, Letter to the editor, Math. Intelligencer **2** (1980), 62–64.
- [9] C. Krattenthaler, *A new matrix inverse*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **124** (1996), no. 1, 47–59.
- [10] D. H. Leshchiner, *Some new identities for  $\zeta(k)$* , J. Number Theory **13** (1981), no. 3, 355–362.
- [11] T. Rivoal, *La fonction Zêta de Riemann prend une infinité de valeurs irrationnelles aux entiers impairs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I Math. **331** (2000), no. 4, 267–270. Available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/0008051>.
- [12] A. van der Poorten, *A proof that Euler missed... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$ . An informal report*, Math. Intelligencer **1** (1978/79), no. 4, 195–203.
- [13] A. van der Poorten, *Some wonderful formulas... An introduction to polylogarithms*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics **54**, Proc. 1979 Queen's Number Theory Conference, 1980, 269–286.
- [14] W. Zudilin, *Arithmetic of linear forms involving odd zeta values*, to appear in J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2004). Available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/0206176>.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME, CNRS UMR 6139, UNIVERSITÉ DE CAEN,  
BP 5186, 14032 CAEN CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* rivoal@math.unicaen.fr